

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The Office Action mailed September 25, 2001 has been carefully considered. In response thereto, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks.

In The Claims

Hul Chin Barnhill

Please cancel claims 6-17, without prejudice or disclaimer.

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed September 25, 2001, issued a restriction requirement, alleging that the claims of the above-referenced patent application are directed to two distinct inventions.

Specifically, the Office Action allege that claims 1-5 (Claim Group I) were directed to a first invention, while claims 6-17 (Claim Group II) were directed to a second distinct invention. In response, Applicants have canceled claims 6-17 without prejudice or disclaimer, and hereby elect to continue prosecution in this application on Claim Group I (claims 1-5).

Applicants have canceled claims 6-17 without prejudice or disclaimer, and intend to file a divisional application to continue the prosecution of those claims.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with this amendment and response to Office Action. If, however, any fee is deemed payable, you are hereby authorized to charge our Deposit Account No. 20-0778.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel R. McClure

Registration No. 38,962

THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.

Suite 1750 100 Galleria Parkway N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30339 (770) 933-9500